



1st for EPA Ltd

Malpractice and Maladministration Policy and Procedures

1. Policy Purpose

1st for EPA is committed to ensuring that its end point assessments (qualifications) are developed, delivered and awarded accurately, taking all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice or maladministration. This document sets out 1st for EPA's written procedures for the investigation and management of suspected or alleged malpractice or maladministration, which will be kept up to date, maintained, and complied with at all times.

1st for EPA is committed to complying with regulatory requirements, including those set by the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA), Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education (IfATE) and our external quality assurers.

2. Definition of malpractice and maladministration

2.1 Malpractice

Malpractice is defined as any deliberate actions, neglect, default or other practice that compromises, or could compromise the:

- Assessment process.
- Integrity of a regulated qualification.
- Validity of a result or certificate.
- Reputation and credibility of the awarding body.
- Qualification or the wider qualifications' community.

Malpractice may include a range of issues from the failure to maintain appropriate records or systems to the deliberate falsification of records in order to claim certificates. Failure by a Centre (such as a training provider) to deal with an identified issue may in itself constitute malpractice.

2.2 Maladministration

Maladministration is defined as any activity, neglect, default or other practice (not deliberate) that results in the Centre or candidate not complying with the specified requirements for delivery of the qualifications as set out in the relevant codes of practice, where applicable.

3. Types of malpractice

Malpractice can occur at Centre or learner/apprentice level and Centres should be vigilant in both the prevention and management of maladministration and malpractice. All references to materials, documents and records refer to hard copy and electronic formats.

3.1 Learner/apprentice



Malpractice by a learner/apprentice can occur in:

- The compilation of portfolios of assessment evidence.
- The presentation of practical work.
- The preparation and authentication of coursework.
- Conduct during an assessment.

The following are some, but not exhaustive, examples of learner malpractice:

- Plagiarising, for example presenting another person's work as if it were the learner's own and/or failing to acknowledge sources properly.
- Colluding with another learner or other learners when the assessment must be completed by an individual learner.
- Copying from another learner or other learners.
- Impersonating someone (the learner assuming someone else's identity and/or arranging for someone else to assume the learner's identity in the assessment).
- Including inappropriate, offensive, discriminatory or obscene material in assessment evidence, including vulgarity and swearing that is outside the context of the assessment, or any material that is of a discriminatory nature.
- Behaving in a disruptive manner, including shouting or using offensive or aggressive language or behaviour, or having an unauthorised electronic device that causes a disturbance, during an assessment.
- Having physical possession of unauthorised material or aids, for example mobile phone, smart watch, MP3 player, notes, study guides, calculators (unless specified in assessment criteria), dictionaries.

3.2 Centres

The following are some, but not exhaustive, examples of Centre malpractice:

- Failing to provide appropriate exam conditions and resources.
- Misusing assessments, including inappropriate adjustments to assessment decisions.
- Failing to comply with requirements or procedures.
- Deliberately falsifying materials in order to claim certificates.
- Excessive direction from invigilators to candidates on how to meet standards.
- Discrimination against learners.

There may be other instances of suspected Centre malpractice or maladministration which may undermine the integrity of an awarding body's qualifications.

3.3 Centre staff

Centre staff malpractice refers to malpractice committed by a member of staff (or contractor) at a Centre. The following are some, but not exhaustive, examples of Centre staff malpractice:



- Breaching security, for example failing to keep assessment material secure prior to the assessment, failing to maintain the security of the assessment papers after the assessment has taken place, or tampering with coursework.
- Deception, for example falsifying a learner's assessment evidence, records, results or certification claims.
- Providing improper assistance to learners, for example permitting the use of a reasonable adjustment over and above the extent permitted by 1st for EPA or assisting or prompting learners with the producing answers or assessment evidence, beyond what is permitted.
- Failing to adhere to regulations/awarding body stated requirements.
- Failing to declare conflicts of interest that may affect the integrity of the assessment.

4. Responsibilities for reporting, investigating and managing suspected or alleged malpractice

4.1 It is preferable to prevent malpractice wherever possible than to deal with it once it has occurred. 1st for EPA will work with Centres to ensure clear, effective procedures are in place to reduce the likelihood of malpractice occurring.

Any person who discovers or suspects malpractice is responsible for reporting it immediately to the appropriate person (as detailed below). Allegations may be made to Centre staff, who must escalate it to 1st for EPA. Allegations may also be made directly to 1st for EPA.

On receiving notification of suspected or alleged malpractice, 1st for EPA will determine, based on the severity and associated risk of the suspected or alleged malpractice, 1st for EPA will carry out an investigation.

The Responsible Officer is responsible for notifying the regulators if 1st for EPA believes that there has been an incident of malpractice or maladministration which could either invalidate the award of a qualification or end point assessment which 1st for EPA makes available, could affect another awarding organisation or cause any other adverse effect.

4.2 1st for EPA's obligations

The Responsible Officer is responsible for ensuring that 1st for EPA complies with requirements as stipulated by regulators in cases of suspected or alleged malpractice. Such requirements on the part of 1st for EPA include:

- Taking all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice or maladministration in the development, delivery and award of qualifications which it makes available or proposes to make available.
- Where any such malpractice or maladministration is suspected by 1st for EPA or alleged by another person, and where there are reasonable grounds for that suspicion or allegation:
 - as far as possible, establishing whether or not the malpractice or maladministration has occurred.
 - taking reasonable steps promptly to prevent any potential adverse which it may give rise to, and where any adverse effect occurs, to mitigating it as far as possible and correcting it.



- Establishing and maintaining, and at all times complying with, up to date written procedures for the investigation of suspected or alleged malpractice or maladministration.
- Ensuring that such investigations are carried out rigorously, effectively, and by persons of appropriate competence who have no personal interest in the outcome.
- Where a Centre undertakes any part of the delivery of a qualification which 1st for EPA makes available, taking all reasonable steps to keep under review the arrangements put in place by a Centre for preventing and investigating malpractice and maladministration.
- Following a request from such a Centre, providing guidance to the Centre as to how best to prevent, investigate and deal with malpractice and maladministration.
- Where 1st for EPA establishes that any malpractice or maladministration has occurred in the development, delivery or award of qualifications which it makes available, or proposes to make available, promptly take all reasonable steps to:
 - Prevent that malpractice or maladministration from recurring.
 - Take action against those responsible which is proportionate to the gravity and scope of the occurrence, or seek the cooperation of third parties in taking such action.
- Where 1st for EPA has any cause to believe that an occurrence of malpractice or maladministration, or any connected occurrence:
 - May affect an apprentice undertaking an end point assessment.
 - May affect a Centre undertaking any part of the delivery of a qualification which 1st for EPA makes available, it will inform that Centre.
 - May affect another awarding organisation, informing that awarding organisation.
 - May cause an adverse effect, informing the regulator.

4.3 Centres

A Centre must take all reasonable steps to ensure that 1st for EPA is able to comply with Ofqual's requirements as stipulated in the Conditions of Recognition.

Such reasonable steps include:

- Having in place robust procedures for preventing and investigating incidents of malpractice or maladministration which are up to date and communicated across the Centre.
- Reviewing procedures regularly for preventing and investigating incidents of malpractice or maladministration and making any improvements necessary to ensure they remain relevant and fit for purpose.
- Taking all reasonable steps to prevent incidents of malpractice or maladministration from occurring.
- Taking reasonable steps to investigate any suspected incidents of malpractice or maladministration and rectify any negative impact of these incidents.
- Developing a full action plan for managing and rectifying the negative impact of any incidents of malpractice or maladministration and making this action plan available to 1st for EPA as required. This plan should also identify any areas of improvement required to ensure the malpractice or maladministration does not reoccur in the future.



- Taking appropriate and proportionate action against those responsible for the malpractice or maladministration to ensure it does not re-occur in the future.
- Delivering in full the actions required to manage and rectify any identified incidents of malpractice or maladministration.
- Notifying 1st for EPA promptly of any incidents of malpractice or maladministration in line with the requirements of the 1st for EPA's malpractice and maladministration policy.
- Providing access to documents, records, data, staff, third parties, sub-contractors, learners, satellite Centres or any other resource required by 1st for EPA during an investigation of Centre of malpractice or maladministration.

5. Identifying malpractice and suspected malpractice

Malpractice and suspected malpractice may be identified by an awarding body's external quality advisors or other quality assurance staff, a learner/apprentice, a Centre/employer representative, a 'whistle-blower', a member of the public or the Regulatory Authorities, in a number of different ways.

At Centre level, through:

- Ongoing quality assurance activity and monitoring.
- Complaints or feedback received, for example from Centre staff or learners.

At end point assessment level, through:

- Scheduled quality assurance activity and monitoring.
- Intelligence, complaints or feedback received, for example from apprentices, Centre staff, employers, whistle blowers or other stakeholders.
- Information from other organisations, for example other end point assessment organisations.

At awarding organisation level, through:

- Scheduled quality assurance activity and monitoring.
- Intelligence, complaints or feedback received, for example from learners, Centre staff, whistle blowers or other stakeholders.
- Information from other organisations, for example other awarding organisations.

At regulator level, through:

- Intelligence, complaints or feedback received.

6. Sanctions and penalties

6.1 Following a rigorous and effective investigation of suspected or alleged malpractice, in cases where malpractice has been confirmed, 1st for EPA will impose sanctions and penalties proportionate to the severity and associated risk of the suspected or alleged malpractice. Where appropriate, 1st for EPA will seek the cooperation of third parties in taking such action. Where malpractice by a member of staff in a Centre is established, any disciplinary action is the responsibility of the Centre as the employer. The action taken should be appropriate and proportionate.

The following list includes examples of actions that 1st for EPA may take against Centres, Centre staff and learners and is not exhaustive.

- Failing end point assessment.
- Losing marks gained for a component of an end point assessment or whole qualification.
- Being disqualified from end point assessment.
- Being barred from entering assessment(s) for a set period of time.
- Being issued with a written warning that if a further offence is committed within a specified time that further specified sanctions will apply.

Centres

- Receiving additional monitoring.
- Suspending registration and certification.
- Withdrawing approval for specific qualifications.
- Issuing a review and report action plan.

Centre staff

- Imposing conditions on, or suspending, individual(s) involved in 1st for EPA assessment delivery.
- Imposing a requirement to undergo training before they are involved in the delivery and/or assessment of the qualification in the future.

7. Procedures

Reporting suspected or alleged malpractice

7.1 Centre staff

Centre staff who discover or suspect malpractice must report it to the Head of Centre immediately. The Head of Centre must notify the Responsible Officer at 1st for EPA of any incidents of actual or suspected malpractice immediately by contacting info@1stforepa.co.uk putting their concerns and all details in writing.

In cases of malpractice during the course of an assessment, the invigilator has the authority to expel a learner from the assessment room if the learner's continuing presence might hinder other learners.

7.2 External Quality Assurers (EQAs)

EQAs who discover or suspect malpractice, either during a Centre visit or when sampling learner evidence, must report their findings to 1st for EPA's Responsible Officer immediately via info@1stforepa.co.uk or using their own EQA report.

7.3 Internal Quality Assurers (IQAs)

IQAs who discover or suspect malpractice must in the first instance report it to the Responsible Officer.

7.4 Investigating suspected or alleged malpractice

Investigations into malpractice and suspected malpractice will aim to:

- Establish the facts relating to allegations/complaints in order to determine whether any irregularities have actually occurred.
- Establish the facts, circumstances, and scale of the alleged malpractice.
- Identify the cause of the irregularities and those involved.
- Identify, and if necessary, take action to minimise, the risk to current learners and requests for certification.
- Evaluate any action already taken by Centres.
- Determine whether remedial action is required to reduce the risk to current learners and to preserve the integrity of the qualification.
- Ascertain whether any action is required in respect of certificates already issued.
- Obtain evidence to support any sanctions to be applied to the Centre, and/or to members of staff.
- Identify any patterns or trends.
- Identify any changes to policy or procedure that need to be made by 1st for EPA and/or the Centre.

Investigations will be carried out in a confidential manner and respect the rights of the individual(s) concerned.

7.5 1st for EPA Responsibilities

1st for EPA will conduct a full investigation in all instances of suspected or alleged malpractice. Where appropriate, 1st for EPA will deem this requirement to have been fulfilled in part by the Centre conducting an investigation and providing a full report of the investigation and its outcomes to 1st for EPA (subject to any legal restrictions on disclosure that might apply). In the first instance, the Responsible Officer at 1st for EPA is responsible for:

- Determining, based on the severity, scope and associated risk of the suspected or alleged malpractice, the most appropriate person(s) to investigate the suspected or alleged malpractice.
- Taking reasonable steps promptly to prevent any potential adverse which it may give rise to, and where any adverse effect occurs, to mitigating it as far as possible and correcting it.
- Establishing that the correct procedures are followed in the investigation.
- Considering all the evidence submitted.
- Confirming that there is sufficient evidence to reach a conclusion (and, if not, take such steps considered necessary to acquire sufficient evidence).
- Establishing whether or not malpractice has been committed and where this is established to determine an appropriate penalty.



- Taking action against those responsible which is proportionate to the gravity and scope of the occurrence, or seeking the cooperation of third parties in taking such action.
- Notifying Ofqual if it is believed that there has been an incident of malpractice or maladministration which could either invalidate the award of a qualification which 1st for EPA makes available or could affect another awarding organisation.

In more serious cases of suspected or alleged malpractice, based on the severity, scope and associated risk of the suspected or alleged malpractice, the Responsible Officer may escalate the case to the governing committee.

7.6 Suspected or alleged malpractice by apprentices

Any incidents of actual or suspected malpractice should be immediately reported to 1st for EPA. Put all details in writing and submit this to info@1stforepa.co.uk

On receiving notification of a suspected or alleged malpractice, the Responsible Officer at 1st for EPA will determine, based on the severity and associated risk of the suspected or alleged malpractice, whether it is appropriate to request the Head of Centre to carry out an initial investigation prior to 1st for EPA's investigation or whether it is appropriate for 1st for EPA to carry out the entire investigation.

Where the Centre is authorised to carry out the investigation, it must:

- Inform the learner in writing of the suspected or alleged malpractice, the procedures to be followed and possible penalties should the suspected or alleged malpractice be proved.
- Undertake an investigation of the suspicion or allegation.
- Provide the learner with an opportunity to contest or refute the allegation or suspicion, either in writing, or at a hearing, or both.
- Allow the learner to be accompanied at any hearing.
- Make a decision based on the investigation and hearing.
- Ensure that the person(s) conducting the investigation, or hearing, or making a decision are not the same person(s) who are making the allegation or raising the suspicion.
- Have sufficient professional standing, authority and knowledge.
- Inform the Responsible Officer at 1st for EPA of the outcome in writing.
- Inform the learner of the outcome in writing.
- Keep a full case record, which should be made available to 1st for EPA on request, and should include: a statement of facts; a detailed account of the circumstances; names of all persons involved and their roles in the case; and copies of all written statements.

7.7 Suspected or alleged malpractice by 1st for EPA staff

The Responsible Officer must be notified of any incidents of actual or suspected malpractice immediately, in writing.

It is the responsibility of 1st for EPA to conduct an investigation into suspected or alleged malpractice by its staff. The investigation should determine the outcome and, in cases where the suspected or alleged malpractice are upheld, the appropriate penalty implemented.

1st for EPA must comply with its own employment and disciplinary policy and procedures and be compliant with relevant employment legislation. In cases where the suspected or alleged malpractice may involve a criminal offence, the Responsible Officer is required to inform the police.

7.8 Suspected or alleged malpractice by Centre staff

The Head of Centre must notify the Responsible Officer at 1st for EPA of any incidents of actual or suspected malpractice immediately, in writing.

In cases where the notification is not from the Centre, the Responsible Officer at 1st for EPA will notify the Head of Centre of the suspected or alleged malpractice.

It is the responsibility of the Centre to conduct an investigation into suspected or alleged malpractice by its staff. The investigation should determine the outcome and, in cases where the suspected or alleged malpractice are upheld, the appropriate penalty.

The Centre must comply with its own employment and disciplinary policy and procedures and be compliant with relevant employment legislation. In cases where the suspected or alleged malpractice may involve a criminal offence, the Head of Centre and the Responsible Officer at 1st for EPA should consult on whether the Centre is required to inform the police.

The Head of Centre must inform the Responsible Officer at 1st for EPA of the outcome in writing.

7.9 Suspected or alleged malpractice by Centres

The Responsible Officer at 1st for EPA will notify the Head of Centre of the suspected or alleged malpractice. On receiving notification of a suspected or alleged malpractice, the Responsible Officer at 1st for EPA will determine, based on the severity and associated risk of the suspected or alleged malpractice, whether it is appropriate to request the Head of Centre to carry out an initial investigation prior to 1st for EPA's investigation or whether it is appropriate for 1st for EPA to carry out the entire investigation.

Where the Centre is authorised to carry out the investigation, it must:

- Undertake an investigation of the suspicion or allegation.
- Conclude whether or not it accepts that the suspected or alleged malpractice is well founded, and if the suspected or alleged malpractice is upheld, what actions it plans to take to prevent a recurrence of the malpractice.
- Inform the Responsible Officer at 1st for EPA of the outcome in a written report.
- Inform the learner of the outcome in writing.
- Keep a full case record, which should be made available to 1st for EPA on request, and should include: a statement of facts; a detailed account of the circumstances; names of all persons involved and their roles in the case; copies of all written statements; and other records and documents appropriate to the case.



1st for EPA

End Point Assessment made easy

8. Appealing against decisions and penalties

A Centre or apprentice has the right to appeal against any decisions made regarding malpractice or maladministration. Please refer to our Complaints and Appeals Policy, available on request and at <https://1stforepa.co.uk/about-us/epa-policies/>.

If you have any questions or queries relating to this policy or the procedures, please contact 1st for EPA.

9. Contacts

Responsible Officer Helen Shinner, Managing Director helen@1stforepa.co.uk

1st for EPA Tel: 07929 194873 info@1stforepa.co.uk

10. Policy Review

This policy was last updated 4 February 2021. It will be reviewed annually and as legislation requires.